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ABSTRACT
Since more and more enterprises become dependent upon computer communication network or networked computing applications particularly after the growth of local area network, wide area network and internet. Therefore failure of a single component may directly affect the functioning of the network. The nodes and the edges may fail in any real network. In this paper we have proposed an efficient algorithm to compute the reliability of a network (which has imperfect nodes) using modified binary decision diagram. After that we have compared our algorithm with an existing algorithm based on edge expansion diagram using ordered binary decision diagram. The size of the modified binary decision diagram obtained by our algorithm is much less than the size of the ordered binary decision diagram, however the reliability results are same.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Network reliability analysis receives considerable attention for the design, validation, and maintenance of many real world systems, such as computer, communication, or power networks. The components of a network are subject to random failures, as more and more enterprises become dependent upon computer communication network (CCN) or networked computing applications. Failure of a single component may directly affect the functioning of a network. So the probability of each component of a CCN is a crucial consideration while considering the reliability of a network. Hence the reliability consideration is an important factor in CCN [1]. The IEEE 90 standard defines the reliability as “the ability of a system or component to perform its required functions under stated conditions for a specified period of time.” Many algorithms have been presented to solve the problem of network reliability. These algorithms are based on the exact methods as well as the approximation methods [2, 3, 4]. Some of them are based on the min-paths/min-cuts methods. In these methods we first enumerate all the min-paths and min-cuts of the given CCN, then these min-paths/min-cuts are manipulated to get their counterpart in the sum of disjoint product form. Min-cuts methods have been used since 1960 to compute the reliability of a network [5]. The authors [6] have shown that min-cuts based algorithms are more efficient then the min-paths based algorithms only for the networks where number of min-cuts are less than the number of min-paths. However the number of min-paths/min-cuts increases exponentially as the size of the networks increases. It is impractical to enumerate all the min-paths/min-cuts of a very large network. Some of the others are based on the factoring theorem [7, 8]. Moskowitz was the first to use the factoring theorem directly to compute the reliability of a
network [9]. The factoring theorem divides the reliability problems into two sub problems and the formula is given below:

\[
R(G) = P_e \cdot R(G/\text{edge e functions}) + (1 - p_e) \cdot R(G/\text{edge e fail})
\]  

(1)

This factoring formula must be applied only when there is no reduction on the graph is possible. It has shown that the optimal binary structure of the factoring algorithm for undirected networks can be generated by means of pivoting. Before applying the factoring, we must apply the reduction techniques like polygon to chain or series-parallel [10]. If a network has imperfect nodes as well as imperfect links, then such type of structure will increase the complexity to compute the reliability of the network. The most commonly used method for nodes failure is incident edge substitution [11]. In this method, for an edge e, we put v_i e v_j in the min-path function for the perfect nodes, because if we consider an edge e, then this edge must contains two end vertices say v_i and v_j. So we have to simplify the Boolean function. The min-path function for the perfect nodes is the union of all min-paths from source to sink. By performing such type of operations we need large memory. One more feasible solution is to slightly change the probability function used in the factoring theorem and factor on links that have at least one end point [12]. The authors [13] have shown an efficient and exact method to compute the reliability of a network with imperfect vertices. One other method was shown by Xing with imperfect coverage and common cause failure [14].

One other author has tried to convert an undirected network in to a directed network and then compute the reliability of a network. This algorithm generates result with minor errors within reasonable time. This algorithm also generates bad result for some networks. This has been shown by Y. Chen [15].

One of the others algorithm is the brute-force algorithm. It uses the path function and have presented by V. A. Netes [16].

The network model is an undirected stochastic graph G = (V, E), where V is the vertex set, and E is the set of undirected edges. An incidence relation which associates with each edge of G a pair of nodes of G, called its end vertices. The edges and nodes are the components of a network that can fail with known probability. In real problems, these probabilities are usually computed from statistical data. The reliability of a network is the probability that at least one path is operational from source to sink.

This paper is organized as follows. First, we give a brief introduction to Binary decision diagrams (BDD) in section II. Then, in section III we have define three types of network reliability. In section IV, we have proposed the description of our method for computing network reliability by using BDD. In section V, we have shown the experimental results. Finally we draw some conclusions in section VI.

II. BINARY DECISION DIAGRAMS

Akers [17] first introduced BDD to represent Boolean functions i.e. a BDD is a data structure used to represent a Boolean Function. Bryant [18] popularized the use of BDD by introducing a set of algorithms for efficient construction and manipulation of BDD structure. The BDD structure provides compact representations of Boolean expressions. A BDD is a directed acyclic graph (DAG) based on the Shannon decomposition. The Shannon decomposition for a Boolean function is defined as follows:

\[
f = x \cdot f_{x=1} + \overline{x} \cdot f_{x=0}
\]

(2)

where x is one of the decision variables, and f is the Boolean function evaluated at x = i.

By using Shannon’s decomposition, any Boolean expression can be transformed in to binary tree. The authors [19] have shown a method to minimize Boolean expression with sum of disjoint product functions by using BDD. BDD are used to work out the terminal reliability of the links. In the network reliability framework, Sekine & Imai [20] have shown how to functionally construct the corresponding BDD. The authors [21] have shown an alternate approach to find the network reliability by using BDD. Figure 1 shows the truth table of a Boolean function f and its corresponding Shannon tree.
Sink nodes are labelled either with 0, or with 1, representing the two corresponding constant expressions. Each internal node $u$ is labelled with a Boolean variable $\text{var}(u)$, and has two out-edges called 0-edge, and 1-edge. The node linked by the 1-edge represents the Boolean expression when $x_i = 1$, i.e. $f_{x_i=1}$; while the node linked by the 0-edge represents the Boolean expression when $x_i = 0$, i.e. $f_{x_i=0}$. The two outgoing edges are given by two functions $\text{low}(u)$ and $\text{high}(u)$. Indeed, such representation is space consuming. It is possible to shrink by using following three postulates.

Remove Duplicate Terminals: Delete all but one terminal vertex with a given label, and redirect all arcs into the deleted vertices to the remaining one.

Delete Redundant Non Terminals: If non terminal vertices $u$, and $v$ have $\text{var}(u) = \text{var}(v)$, $\text{low}(u) = \text{low}(v)$, and $\text{high}(u) = \text{high}(v)$, then delete one of the two vertices, and redirect all incoming arcs to the other vertex.

Delete Duplicate tests : If non terminal vertex $v$ has $\text{low}(v) = \text{high}(v)$, then delete $v$, and redirect all incoming arcs to $\text{low}(v)$.

If we apply all these three rules then the decision tree can be reduced. The shrinking process is shown in figure 2.

### 2.1 Ordered Binary Decision Diagram

For an ordered BDD (OBDD), we impose a total ordering $\prec$ over the set of variables and require that for any vertex $u$, and either non terminal child $v$, their respective variables must be ordered. The authors [22, 23] have shown two different methods to find the reliability of the network by using OBDD.

### 2.2 Dual Binary Decision Diagram

If two or more BDD have the same size and representing the same Boolean function, then these BDD are known as Dual BDD, because they are Dual of each other. The size of the BDD means the total
number of non terminal vertices and the number of non terminal vertices at particular level [24]. A particular sequence of variables is known as a variable ordering. It has been observed that the size of the BDD strongly depends on the ordering of variables [25]. There are three types of variable ordering (optimal, good and bad) depending on the size of the different BDD [26]. An ordering is said to be optimal if it generates the minimum size BDD. A new approach for finding various optimal variable ordering to generate minimum size BDD has shown by Singhal [27]. Herrmann has shown the process how to improve the reliability of a network by using augmented BDD [28, 29].

2.3 Modified Binary Decision Diagram

The modified binary decision diagram (MBDD) is a binary decision diagram which is either dual BDD or the smaller size BDD [30].

III. NETWORK RELIABILITY

The reliability of a network G is the probability that G supports a given operation. We distinguish three kinds of operation and hence three kind of reliability [31].

3.1 Two Terminal Reliability

It is the probability that two given vertices, called the source and the sink, can communicate. It is also called the terminal-pair reliability [32].

3.2 K Terminal reliability

When the operation requires only a few vertices, a subset k of N(G), to communicate each other, this is K terminal reliability [33].

3.3 All Terminal Reliability

When the operation requires that each pair of vertices is able to communicate via at least one operational path, this is all terminal reliability. We can see that 2-terminal reliability and all terminal reliability are the particular case of K-terminal reliability.

IV. COMPUTATION OF NETWORK RELIABILITY

Let us take an example of an undirected network G (V, E) with single source (S) and single sink (T) as taken by the authors [34], shown in figure 3. The graph has four nodes and five edges. There are only four path exist from source to sink. These min-paths are as follows:

H1 = {x1, x4}, H2 = {x2, x5}, H3 = {x1, x3, x5}, H4 = {x2, x3, x4}.

In [34], the authors have generated the OBDD based on edge expansion diagram by considering that all the nodes are perfect and then compute the reliability by applying Shannon’s decomposition. The edge expansion diagram and the respective OBDD are shown in figure 4 and figure 5 respectively. This OBDD (figure5) contains 8 non-terminal vertices. The authors also have generated the OBDD by considering the network with imperfect nodes as well as imperfect links. This is shown in figure 6. This OBDD (imperfect nodes) contains 16 non-terminal vertices.
Here we have tried to reduce the size of the OBDD (imperfect nodes) by applying an efficient
algorithm. Our algorithm executes in three main steps.

1. Order the link by applying a good heuristic approach.
2. Generate the BDD by min-path function for the perfect vertices as well as for imperfect vertices.
3. Apply Shannon’s decomposition to compute the reliability.

**Heuristic Approach:** The heuristic approach is given below:

(i) Traverse the graph from source S to sink T. Find all the min-paths from source to sink. These are \( H_1 = \{x_1, x_4\}, H_2 = \{x_2, x_3\}, H_3 = \{x_1, x_3, x_5\} \) and \( H_4 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\} \).

(ii) Check whether these paths are disjoint or not. If all the paths are disjoint then we can select any one disjoint path then second then third and so on.

(iii) If all min-paths are not disjoint then find only those min-paths which are disjoint. We have found that the min-paths \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) are disjoint. Since the degree of nodes \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are same, then we can move from source S via min-path \( H_1 \) or \( H_2 \). To choose either \( H_1 \) or \( H_2 \) we will give preference to min-path \( H_1 \), then middle edge \( x_1 \) and then min-path \( H_2 \).

A particular sequence of variables is known as a variable ordering. It has been observed that the size of the BDD strongly depends on the ordering of variables. An ordering is said to be optimal if it generates the minimum size BDD. An ordering may be good or bad depending on the size of the BDD.

By applying our heuristic we have found the variable ordering 
\[ x_1 < x_4 < x_3 < x_2 < x_5 \]

Now we have to build a reliability function (min-path function) for perfect nodes as well as imperfect nodes.

The min-path function \( R \) for perfect nodes is the union of all min-paths from source to sink and is given below:

\[ R = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3 \cup H_4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Again we have to build the reliability function by considering that the nodes and the links in the CCN may fail. It means the CCN has imperfect vertices as well as imperfect links. To generate the reliability function for imperfect nodes, we consider an undirected edge \( e_i \) as shown in figure 7, then it must contain two end vertices say \( v_i \) and \( v_j \). Therefore its incident edge function is \( e_i = v_i e_i v_j \). So by substituting \( v_i e_i v_j \) for \( e_i \) in the path function of perfect nodes. We get the reliability function with imperfect nodes.

\[ \text{Figure 7: An undirected Edge } e_i \text{ with End Vertices} \]

The computation of the probability of the BDD of can be calculated recursively by resorting to the Shannon decomposition.

\[ \text{Pr}\{F\} = \text{p}_1 \text{Pr}\{x_i = 1\} + (1 - \text{p}_1)\text{Pr}\{x_i = 0\} = \text{Pr}\{x_i = 0\} + \text{p}_1(\text{Pr}\{x_i = 1\} - \text{Pr}\{x_i = 0\}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( \text{p}_1 \) is the probability of the Boolean variable \( x_i \) to be true and \( (1 - \text{p}_1) \) is the probability of the Boolean variable \( x_i \) to be false.

The BDD and its probability computation for imperfect vertices are shown in figure 8.
This BDD (imperfect nodes) contains only 14 non-terminal vertices, while the OBDD shown by [34] contains 16 non-terminal vertices. So the size of this BDD is much less than the size of the existing OBDD. Therefore this BDD (14 non-terminal vertices) is known as MBDD because the size of the MBDD is less than the size of the existing OBDD. However the reliability result obtained by OBDD and MBDD is same.

The BDD obtained for perfect nodes of the example network (figure 4) is shown in figure 9. This BDD has the same size as shown by [34] for perfect vertices and the reliability result is same. Therefore the BDD shown in figure 9 is the dual BDD of the existing OBDD (figure 5) for perfect nodes. We can also say that the BDDs shown in figure 5 and figure 9 are dual of each other.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Our program is written in the C language and computations are done by using a Pentium 4 processor with 512 MB of RAM. The computation speed heavily depends on the variables ordering because the size of the BDD heavily depends on the variable ordering. The size of BDD means the total number of nodes in the BDD and number of nodes in a particular level. There are several variables ordering may be possible for constructing the dual BDD (perfect nodes) of the given CCN. We have constructed
only one dual BDD of the given CCN and compute the reliability of the given CCN by using dual BDD. We have found that the reliability obtained by dual BDD and OBDD are same. Here the size of the OBDD (perfect nodes) is same as the size of dual BDD (perfect nodes). But the size of the MBDD (imperfect nodes) is much less than the size of the existing OBDD (imperfect nodes).

VI. CONCLUSIONS
In a real network the nodes and link may fail. The complexity of the reliability analysis will grow exponentially if the nodes of the network are imperfect. To overcome this problem, our algorithm based on MBDD is proposed to compute the reliability of a network with imperfect nodes. We found that the size of the MBDD is much less than the size of the existing OBDD and the reliability results are equal. So the complexity of the MBDD is less than the complexity of the OBDD by an existing edge expansion approach. In future we extend our algorithm to compute the K-terminal reliability with imperfect nodes.
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